

pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Local Rule 73.02(B). (Doc. #26.) In the Report, Judge McCrorey recommended that the Plaintiff's claims be dismissed for failure to respond. Id.

On February 13, 2009, the Report was sent to the Plaintiff via U.S. Mail with notice to file any objections to the Report on or before March 5, 2008. As of the date of this Order, the Plaintiff has not filed any objections to the Report.

This matter is now before the Court upon the Magistrate Judge's recommendation that the Plaintiff's claims be dismissed. This Court is charged with conducting a *de novo* review of any portion of the Magistrate Judge's Report to which a specific objection is registered, and may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendations contained in that report. 28 U.S.C. § 636. In the absence of objections to the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, this Court is not required to give any explanation for adopting the recommendation. See Camby v. Davis, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th Cir. 1983).

A review of the record indicates that the Report accurately summarizes this case and the applicable law. For the reasons articulated by the Magistrate Judge, it is hereby **ORDERED** that the Magistrate Judge's Report is **ACCEPTED** (Doc. # 26), and the Plaintiff's Complaint is dismissed with prejudice.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Terry L. Wooten
United States District Judge

March 12, 2009
Florence, South Carolina